Journal of Silence Studies in Education
Vol. 4, No. 2, June 2025, pp. 76-89

ISSN 2808-1005

Ontologies of silence and their use in education: An Aristotelian perspective

Edwin Creely

Monash University, Wellington Rd, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia

edwin.creely@monash.edu

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received 21 April 2025
Revised 19 May 2025
Accepted 9 June 2025
Available online 14 June, 2025

Keywords
Silence
Ontological
Aristotle
Categories
Education
Pedagogy

2.ABSTRACT

This conceptual article reconceptualises silence through an Aristotelian categorical framework, challenging its traditional understanding as mere absence and proposing four distinct ontological states: Stasis (potential-filled suspension), Punctuation (interregnum between energetic states), Shifting (mediating transition between energy conditions), and Flow (continuous movement across energy landscapes). Drawing on Aristotle's foundational works, I examine how silence manifests across these categorical dimensions of substance, quality, relation, condition, and action/passion. This framework illuminates educational applications where silence functions not as an educational void but as a pedagogical tool with distinct modalities: contemplative spaces (Stasis), transition management (Punctuation), differentiated instruction (Shifting), and immersive learning experiences (Flow). The synthesis of Aristotelian categorisation with energy-based silence ontologies offers educators a nuanced framework that transcends binary thinking about classroom discourse, inviting intentional design of learning environments that leverage different qualities of silence. This theoretical reframing supports pedagogical practices that balance verbal participation with strategic silence, enhancing cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and metacognitive development. A thought experiment illustrates these ontologies in a Year 10 Holocaust education classroom, demonstrating their practical application.

How to Cite: Creely, E. (2025). Ontologies of silence and their use in education: An Aristotelian perspective. *Journal of Silence Studies in Education, 4*(2), 76-89. https://doi.org/10.31763/jsse.v4i2.119

1. Introduction

In contemporary educational discourse, silence often occupies an ambiguous, if not contradictory, position. On one hand, teachers may interpret student silence as disengagement, resistance, or lack of understanding, a problem to be resolved through increased participation. On the other hand, educators frequently request silence for classroom management, test-taking, or focused individual work, making it an instrumental condition rather than a pedagogical resource. This binary framing fails to capture the rich, multidimensional nature of silence and its potential contributions to teaching and learning processes.

The notion of silence and its meanings extend far beyond the mere absence of sound or speech (López Gutiérrez, Ángel, & Arroyo Paniagua, 2024). While conventional understanding might characterise silence as emptiness or void—the negative space between words, activities, or interactions—this reductive view obscures the complex phenomenology of silence. Silence can be understood as a dynamic spectrum of energetic states with distinctive qualities, potentialities, and educational applications. It is not simply what happens when noise ceases but rather constitutes its experiential domain with unique ontological properties.

Western philosophical, social, and educational perspectives have often positioned silence as the subordinate partner in a binary relationship with speech, thereby reinforcing a voice-centric culture in education (Mazzei, 2007). Eastern philosophical traditions, conversely, have long recognised silence as a substantive phenomenon rather than mere absence, so that it becomes a space of contemplation, personal cultivation, and transformation (Zembylas & Michaelides, 2004). Between these perspectives lies a rich terrain for reconceptualising silence not as antithetical to speech, but as complementary, not as an educational deficit, but as a pedagogical asset.

The contemporary purpose of silence in education warrants a fresh examination, particularly as technological saturation, information overload, and constant connectivity increasingly characterise noisy learning environments (Leinster et al., 2025). Beyond its conventional applications in classroom management and assessment contexts, silence offers unique affordances for developing metacognition, supporting emotional regulation, facilitating creative incubation, and enabling deeper forms of knowledge integration (Tan et al., 2024). However, the pedagogical possibilities of silence have not been fully realised in theories of teaching and learning, suggesting an opportunity to expand the conceptual framework for understanding and deploying silence in educational settings (Schultz, 2009). At the same time, I also acknowledge that silence can be used in destructive ways in education, working against its learning potential (Hanna, 2021).

In this article, I aim to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework for understanding silence through an Aristotelian categorical analysis combined with energy-based ontological perspectives. By applying Aristotle's categorical approach to the phenomenon of silence, we can transcend simplistic binary conceptualisations and develop a more nuanced understanding of how silence manifests in educational contexts. This framework allows educators to move beyond treating silence as undifferentiated emptiness toward recognising it as a multifaceted pedagogical resource with distinct forms and functions.

Through this conceptual reframing, I propose a rethinking of educational discourse on silence, offering practitioners conceptual tools for intentionally incorporating different qualities of silence into learning environments. Rather than simply alternating between "active" and "quiet" time, educators can design experiences that utilise the unique properties of different silent states to enhance cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and metacognitive development across diverse educational contexts.

2. Conceptual Perspective: Aristotle's Categorical Analysis

2.1. Aristotelian Categories as Analytical Framework

Aristotle's categorical system remains one of philosophy's most enduring frameworks for classifying and understanding reality. Throughout his corpus, notably in *Categories*, *Metaphysics*, and *Posterior Analytics*, Aristotle (1984a, 1984b, 1984c) developed a systematic approach to categorising phenomena, providing what philosopher Jonathan Barnes describes as "the first serious attempt to provide a fundamental ontology" (Barnes, 1995, p. 65). This categorical framework provides valuable tools for examining silence not as a unitary concept, but as a multifaceted phenomenon that manifests across different dimensions of experience.

At the heart of Aristotle's categorical approach lies his ten fundamental categories outlined in *Categories*: Substance (*ousia*), Quantity (*poson*), Quality (*poion*), Relation (*pros ti*), Place (*pou*), Time (*pote*), Position (*keisthai*), State/Condition (*echein*), Action (*poiein*), and Passion (*paschein*). These categories, as Aristotle argues in *Metaphysics*, provide a comprehensive system for understanding the different ways in which being manifests. As he writes: "There are many senses in which a thing may be said to 'be'" (*Metaphysics*, 1003a33). This insight proves particularly valuable for analysing silence, which has traditionally been defined through negation, as the absence of speech or sound, rather than through positive ontological attributes.

While all ten categories offer potential insight, five prove particularly relevant for developing an ontology of silence: Substance (addressing the fundamental question of what silence is in itself), Quality (examining the distinctive properties that differentiate types of silence), Relation (analysing how silence stands in relation to speech, activity, and energy states), State/Condition (understanding silence as a state of being with particular characteristics), and Action/Passion (considering how silence both acts upon and is affected by educational contexts). These categories provide analytical dimensions through which we can examine silence not simply as absence but as a complex

phenomenon with its substantive nature, qualitative distinctions, relational properties, conditional states, and active/passive dimensions.

2.2 Aristotelian Principles of Categorisation

Beyond the specific categories themselves, Aristotle's approach to categorisation offers several methodological principles valuable for developing an ontology of silence. The first principle involves differentiation through contrast. In *Categories*, Aristotle establishes distinctions through systematic comparison, demonstrating how phenomena may share specific properties while differing in others. This approach allows us to distinguish between types of silence that may appear similar on the surface but manifest distinct ontological properties.

The second principle concerns hierarchical organisation. Aristotle's categorical system establishes logical relationships between primary and secondary characteristics, with substance serving as the foundational category upon which others depend. This hierarchical approach helps establish which properties of silence are essential versus accidental, primary versus derivative.

The third principle focuses on relational understanding. As Aristotle argues in *Categories*, "Relatives seem to be simultaneous by nature" (*Categories*, 7b15), suggesting that certain phenomena can only be fully understood through their relations to other things. This principle proves crucial for conceptualising silence, which gains meaning partly through its relationship to speech, activity, and energetic states.

The fourth principle distinguishes between potential and actuality. Throughout his works, particularly in *Metaphysics*, Aristotle distinguishes between potentiality (*dynamis*) and actuality (*energeia*). This distinction offers a helpful framework for understanding silence not as static emptiness but as charged with potential, or what Levin calls "the pregnant silence of possibility" (Levin, 1989, p. 237).

These methodological principles, combined with Aristotle's categorical distinctions, provide analytical tools for developing a more nuanced understanding of silence as a multidimensional phenomenon. Rather than treating silence as a singular concept defined by absence, this approach allows articulation of positive ontological properties across different domains of experience.

2.3 Applying Aristotelian Analysis to Silence

Applying Aristotle's categorical approach to silence requires a fundamental shift in perspective, namely, moving from treating silence as pure negation (the absence of sound) toward recognising it as a substantive phenomenon with positive ontological properties. As Picard argues in *The World of Silence* (1948/1988), "Silence is not merely negative; it is not the mere absence of speech. It is a positive, a complete world in itself" (p. 17).

Through an Aristotelian lens, we can begin to identify the multiple ways in which silence manifests across different categorical dimensions. In terms of Substance (*ousia*), rather than defining silence through negation, there is its essential nature—what silence is in itself. This perspective enables the recognition of silence as a functional phenomenon with its own modes of being, rather than merely the space between sounds or words.

In terms of Quality (*poion*), silence manifests different qualitative properties: tense or relaxed, comfortable or awkward, productive or stagnant. These qualitative distinctions suggest that silence is not homogeneous but varies significantly in its experiential character. Using the category of Relation (*pros ti*), silence exists in relation to speech, activity, and energy states. It may serve as preparation for a speech, an extension of thought, or a response to what has been said. Understanding these relational dimensions reveals how silence derives significance partially through its position within broader communicative and energetic contexts.

With respect to State/Condition (*echein*), silence represents different states of being—contemplation, waiting, processing, resistance. These conditions suggest that silence functions beyond mere absence and, in particular, encompasses modes of presence with their own characteristics and affordances. Finally, applying the category of Action/Passion (*poiein/paschein*), silence both acts and is acted upon. It can function actively in creating space, facilitating reflection, and enabling transition, while also being shaped passively by contextual factors, cultural norms, and power dynamics.

Through this categorical analysis, silence emerges not as a singular concept but as a complex phenomenon manifesting across multiple dimensions of experience and being. This perspective allows us to transcend the binary opposition between speech and silence, recognising what Glenn (2004) calls "the rhetorical features and manifestations of a quietude that means many things" (p. 17).

With this Aristotelian foundation established, I turn to developing specific ontological perspectives on silence based on energy dynamics, creating a more nuanced framework for understanding and applying silence in educational contexts.

3. Ontologies of Silence

Building upon Aristotle's categorical framework, I now offer four distinct ontological perspectives on silence, each characterised by particular energy dynamics and phenomenological qualities. These ontologies represent different ways in which silence manifests as a substantive phenomenon rather than just absence, offering a framework for understanding silence as a multifaceted experience with diverse educational applications.

3.1 Stasis: Silence as Suspended Energy

The ontology of Stasis conceptualises silence not as an empty void but as a state of suspended energy—a pause filled with potential rather than absence. Like a held breath or a dancer's momentary stillness, this form of silence appears outwardly inactive, yet it contains internal tension, anticipation, and possibility. Maurice Merleau-Ponty (1945/2012) captures this quality in *Phenomenology of Perception* when he describes silence as "not the mere absence of sound but a positive phenomenon" that creates a "hollow" in perceptual experience (p. 421).

Phenomenologically, Stasis manifests as a heightened state of attention or an intensified presence that creates an alert stillness. In educational contexts, this quality of silence creates what Greene (1978) termed "wide-awakeness", which is a state of heightened awareness and receptivity that facilitates profound learning. Unlike emptiness, absence, or void, Stasis represents concentrated potential energy, like a compressed spring or charged particle, ready to be released into motion, thought, or expression.

Through an Aristotelian categorical lens, Stasis exhibits distinctive properties. As a Substance, it represents a contained state of potential energy. Its Quality is tense, expectant, a fertile egg with possibility. In terms of Relation, it stands between past and future expression. As a Condition, it creates a state of suspended animation or held attention. Regarding Action/Passion, it actively holds energy while passively waiting. This ontological perspective challenges the notion that silence represents absence, instead recognising it as a substantive state with its phenomenological qualities and potential educational affordances.

3.2 Punctuation/Pause: Silence as Interregnum Between Energetic States

The ontology of Punctuation conceptualises silence as an interregnum: a discrete interval or threshold space between different energetic states. Unlike Stasis, which represents suspended energy, Punctuation functions as a delimiter or boundary marker between periods of activity, creating what Bachelard (1958/1994) calls "the dialectics of duration"—the rhythmic alternation between presence and absence that structures experience.

Phenomenologically, Punctuation manifests as a momentary clearing or opening or a space of potential transition between what has been and what will be. Ehrenhaus (1988) describes this quality as "punctuating silence," which "separates what has transpired from what is to follow" (p. 42). Unlike continuous flow, Punctuation creates distinct temporal boundaries, allowing for closure, transition, and new beginnings.

Through an Aristotelian categorical lens, Punctuation exhibits distinguishing properties. As a Substance, it represents a liminal space between energetic states. Its Quality is transitional, boundary-marking, palate-cleansing. In terms of Relation, it stands between separate activities, movements, states, or thoughts. As a Condition, it creates a state of temporal division or demarcation. Regarding Action/Passion, it actively separates while inertly occupying the interval. This ontological perspective recognises silence not as uniform emptiness but as a structural element that creates firm transitional

boundaries within the flow of experience, offering distinctive educational affordances for managing transitions and facilitating the sequences of learning.

3.3 Shifting: Silence as Movement Between Different Energy Conditions

The ontology of Shifting conceptualises silence as a dynamic movement between different energy conditions, becoming a mediating transition rather than a static state. Unlike both Stasis (suspended energy) and Punctuation (the boundary between states), Shifting emphasises the kinetic quality of silence as it facilitates movement between high and low energy conditions. This perspective aligns with Henri Bergson's (1907/1998) concept of durational flow, which refers to the continuous movement or becoming that unfolds in lived experience.

Phenomenologically, shifting manifests as a felt sense of movement or transition, or the experience of energy modulating between different intensities and qualities. Ellsworth (2005) describes this as the transitional space that becomes a pedagogical zone where transformations in understanding become possible. Rather than sharp boundaries, shifting creates gradients of change, allowing for modulation between different states of activity, attention, and engagement.

Employing an Aristotelian categorical lens, Shifting demonstrates unique properties. As a Substance, it represents a dynamic process of energetic modulation. Its Quality is transitional, fluid, or transformative. In terms of Relation, it mediates between different energetic states. As a Condition, it creates a state of becoming or movement between conditions. Regarding Action/Passion, it actively facilitates transition while being shaped by the conditions it connects. This ontological perspective recognises silence not as stasis or boundary but as the shifts of movement itself or the process through which transitions occur, offering distinctive educational affordances for scaffolding learning experiences.

3.4 Flow: Silence as Drifting Across Energy Conditions

The ontology of Flow conceptualises silence as continuous drifting across energy conditions, representing a sustained movement that transcends discrete transitions. Unlike Shifting, which emphasises movement between distinct states, Flow embodies the uninterrupted continuity of movement itself, creating what Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi (1990) describes as "optimal experience," a state of complete immersion in process rather than awareness of distinct transitions.

Phenomenologically, Flow manifests as an unbroken movement of quietude, containing the experience of being carried along a current of experience without awareness of discrete boundaries or transitions. Elliot Eisner (1994) captures this quality in his concept of "expressive outcomes": learning experiences characterised not by discrete steps but by continuous development. Rather than punctuated movement, Flow creates unified progression, allowing for greater immersion in learning processes.

As a category, Flow exhibits distinctive properties. As a Substance, it represents a continuous process of energetic movement. Its Quality is smooth, uninterrupted, and immersive. In terms of Relation, it transcends boundaries between separate states. As a Condition, it creates a state of sustained progression or development. Regarding Action/Passion, it actively carries forward while also following natural currents. This ontological perspective recognises silence not as a static state or boundary, but as a continuous, immersive movement that becomes an uninterrupted progression across experience.

In educational contexts, Flow silence enables extended creative writing sessions, sustained music composition exercises, focused scientific observation, immersive reading of cultural narratives, and uninterrupted mathematical problem solving. Such applications create learning environments that support both disciplinary mastery and creative development, fostering sustained conceptual understanding without disrupting students' immersive experiences.

4. A Phenomenology of Silence

These four ontological perspectives (Stasis, Punctuation, Shifting, and Flow) collectively offer what might be termed a phenomenology of silence, examining how silence manifests as different types of energetic states with their qualities and characteristics. Rather than treating silence as a singular concept defined by absence, this approach conceives it as a multifaceted phenomenon with distinct

ontological properties and educational applications. This phenomenological approach aligns with what Don Ihde (2007) calls "experimental phenomenology", which are the systematic exploration of experiential variations to reveal essential structures. By examining how silence manifests across different energy dynamics, we can identify its core phenomenological qualities while recognising its varied manifestations in educational contexts.

Critical to understanding these silence ontologies is perceiving the dynamic interplay between states, as silence rarely remains fixed in a single form throughout educational experiences. The transitions between states often reveal significant pedagogical moments. For instance, the movement from Stasis to Shifting marks the activation of potential energy into transitional momentum, creating what Bergson might term "qualitative multiplicity" as contemplative silence transforms into mediating passage (Bergson, 1910/1950). Similarly, the transition from Punctuation to Flow represents the dissolution of boundaries into continuous progression, where discrete intervals merge into uninterrupted experience. These interstitial movements between silence states often occur naturally within learning sequences, but can also be intentionally orchestrated by educators who are attuned to energetic fluctuations.

Pedagogical artistry lies not merely in deploying individual silence types but in choreographing their interactions, recognising how Stasis might crystallize insights generated during Flow, or how Shifting might prepare learners for the immersive continuity of Flow experiences. Such ontological transitions represent not weakness or inconsistency but rather the natural phenomenological rhythm of educational silence in practice.

Through this interwoven ontological framework, silence emerges not as the opposite of sound or speech but as a complex energy dynamic with its substantive properties. As Glenn (2004) argues, "Silence is not simply the absence of talk... [but] a rhetorical art that can be as powerful as the art of speech" (p. 18). This perspective invites educators to reconsider silence not as an educational void but as a pedagogical resource with distinctive forms, energies, and functions.

5. Four Ontological Perspectives of Silence in Educational Contexts

Having established a theoretical framework integrating Aristotelian categories with energy-based ontologies, I now turn to examining specific educational applications across four ontological perspectives of silence. Each perspective offers distinctive pedagogical affordances, demonstrating how different manifestations of silence can enhance teaching and learning across diverse educational contexts.

5.1 Stasis: Silence as Suspended Energy in Education

The ontology of Stasis, conceptualised as silence as a potential-filled suspension, offers several valuable educational applications that transform seemingly inactive moments into pedagogically powerful experiences.

Contemplative pedagogical approaches utilise the quality of silence, or stasis, to create deliberate spaces for reflection, mindfulness, and focused attention. As Palmer (1998) argues in *The Courage to Teach*, "Good teaching is not about filling the mind but creating spaces where the mind can be awakened" (p. 84). These contemplative spaces are not empty holes of meaning but charged fields of potential where students can engage in what Michel Foucault (1988) called "technologies of the self", that is, practices that transform understanding through quiet attention. Educational applications include structured meditation practices, reflective journaling exercises, and contemplative observation activities that cultivate what John Dewey (1934) referred to as "active receptivity," or a heightened state of attention that remains open to experience without immediate judgment or categorisation.

Research on questioning techniques consistently demonstrates the value of what Rowe (1986) termed "wait time", which is the productive pause after posing questions that allows for cognitive processing (Ingram & Elliott, 2016). This manifestation of Stasis creates what educational psychologist Sternberg (1985) conceives as an incubation space or time for unconscious processing that often leads to more thoughtful responses and innovative solutions. Studies show that extending the wait time from the typical one second to three or more seconds significantly improves response quality, increases participation from reflective students, and reduces anxiety associated with rapid-fire questioning (Ingram & Elliott, 2014; Rowe, 1986). This practice recognises what Bergson

(1910/1950) termed "duration", by which he meant the qualitative experience of time as lived rather than measured, allowing students to experience felt sense, bodily awareness, and the emergence of thoughts that precede articulation (Gendlin, 1978).

The quality of silence, or Stasis, proves particularly valuable in assessment contexts, where it facilitates what cognitive psychologist Baddeley (2007) calls "working memory function," the capacity to hold and manipulate information in consciousness. Properly structured silent assessment environments create a sense of defamiliarisation, which is a heightened awareness that allows students to perceive familiar material with fresh attention (Shklovsky, 1965). Educational applications include examination spaces designed to minimise distractions, silent reading periods that support enhanced textual engagement, and reflective assessment techniques that provide structured silence for metacognitive processing.

As digital technologies increasingly saturate educational environments, structured spaces embody technology that breaks provide opportunities for solitude, allowing for uninterrupted thinking and creative incubation (Turkle, 2015). These deliberate disconnections from (rapid) digital stimulation facilitate associative thought, the connections between ideas that emerge when the mind isn't constantly processing external inputs (Beaty & Kenett, 2023; James, 1890). Educational applications include technology-free periods during the school day, "digital sabbaticals" where students deliberately disengage from digital devices, with classroom environments designed to minimise digital distractions.

The Stasis ontology of silence invites educators to recognise that seemingly inactive periods often represent intense internalisation and cognitive activity, or what Vygotsky (1986) called "inner speech" and Gendlin (1978) termed "implicit knowing." Rather than viewing silent students as disengaged, this perspective suggests they may be engaged in default mode network activation, which is the brain's integrative processing that occurs during apparent rest (Luo et al., 2024). This cognitive perspective challenges the focus on activity that dominates contemporary education, suggesting that learning requires what Heidegger (1971) considered "releasement": the capacity to let go of constant doing in favour of receptive being.

5.2 Punctuation: Silence as Interregnum in Education

The ontology of Punctuation, understood as silence as boundary marking intervals, offers several valuable educational applications that transform brief pauses into structurally significant moments within learning processes.

Effective classroom management often depends on what Kounin (1970) referred to as "transition smoothness": the ability to move efficiently between different activities or phases of teaching and learning. The Punctuation quality of silence creates liminal spaces (Turner, 1969), that is, threshold moments that allow for closure of previous activities and preparation for new ones. Educational applications include structured silence between lesson segments, clear auditory or visual signals that indicate transitions, and deliberate pauses that allow students to complete cognitive processing before shifting focus. These practices recognise the cognitive resources required to shift attention between different activities and provide space for a mental reset that facilitates new attention.

Facilitation of skilled discussion often utilises deliberate pauses that signal turn-taking opportunities and encourage participation from reflective students (Knapp, 1978; Maroni et al., 2008). These manifestations of the Punctuation ontology create the space between the interval where genuine dialogue becomes possible (Buber, 1970). Educational applications include deliberate pausing after student contributions, structured protocols that incorporate silence before responses, and discussion formats that provide preparation time before verbal participation. These practices create equitable spaces for what Freire (1970) called "dialogic encounter," in which there is genuine exchange rather than just performance.

Research in cognitive psychology highlights the importance of attentional switches, which are breaks between instruction and application that facilitate information consolidation (Levitin, 2014). Thus, the Punctuation quality of silence provides cognitive breathing space to support working memory function and facilitate transfer to long-term storage (Dehaene, 2014). Educational applications include strategic pauses between explanation and practice, frequent brief intervals during cognitively demanding activities, and structured breaks during extended instruction. These practices

recognise the mind's tendency to process information in discrete units and provide space for productive challenges that enhance long-term retention through deliberate processing.

Educational transitions often involve liminality, which can be understood as threshold experiences between established understandings and the construction of new knowledge (Turner, 1969), or intervals of possibility where transformation becomes possible through deliberate boundary crossing (Greene, 1978). Educational applications of liminality might include structured reflection during curricular transitions, ritual markers that indicate movement between knowledge domains, and deliberate pauses during moments of conceptual challenge. These practices provide space for the negotiation of meaning, which includes the active construction of new knowledge structures (Bruner, 1990).

The Punctuation ontology of silence invites educators to recognise the structural importance of breaks and transitions in learning processes. Rather than viewing silence as an interruption to the educational flow, this perspective suggests that it creates coherence and meaningful patterning, making the experience comprehensible (Booth, 1961). This ontological perspective challenges the penchant for continuous activity that often characterises contemporary education, suggesting that learning requires rest states or periods of apparent inactivity that support neural integration and meaning-making (Immordino-Yang et al., 2012).

5.3 Shifting: Silence as Movement Between Energy Conditions in Education

The ontology of Shifting, conceptualised as silence mediating the transition between energy states, offers several valuable educational applications that transform energetic modulation into pedagogically significant processes.

Effective differentiation often depends on responsive teaching calibrated to diverse learning needs (Tomlinson, 1999). The Shifting quality of silence accommodates different learning preferences through varied energy levels. Educational applications might include designing classroom environments with both high-stimulation and low-stimulation zones, creating instructional sequences that alternate between collaborative activities and individual reflections, and developing assessment approaches that allow for different modes of engagement. These practices recognise diverse cognitive strengths that flourish under different conditions and provide varied energy environments that promote receptive attention (Noddings, 1984) or the capacity to perceive and respond to different student needs in context.

Social-emotional learning often involves emotional intelligence, which is the capacity to recognise and modulate emotional states (Goleman, 1995). The Shifting quality of silence facilitates emotional self-regulation, including the ability to navigate transitions between different affective states through awareness of energy dynamics Davidson, 2012). Educational applications include teaching students to recognise their own energy levels, developing classroom practices that support transitions between high stimulation and contemplative activities, and creating environmental cues that signal energy shifts. These practices provide mechanisms for emotional granularity, which refers to the capacity to distinguish between subtle feeling states (Barrett, 2017).

Effective classroom management depends on the smooth movement between different instructional phases, or the Shifting quality of silence that creates tactical spaces and transitional zones, where energy modulation facilitates different forms of engagement (Doyle, 1986). Educational applications include teaching students to shift between collaborative and independent work modes, modelling how to modulate voice and movement for different classroom activities, and designing environmental cues that signal appropriate energy levels. These practices develop what Goffman (1967) called "interaction rituals": shared understandings about appropriate behaviour in different contexts.

Teaching presence, or the teacher's ability to adjust facilitation approaches, often requires flexibility, involving strategic shifts between directive and facilitative approaches (Garrison & Archer, 2000). The Shifting quality of silence creates spaces of appearance (Arendt, 1958); that is, contexts where different forms of educational agency become possible. Educational applications include strategic movement between direct instruction and guided inquiry, deliberate shifts between teacher-centred and student-centred activities, and attuned adjustments in feedback intensity based on student needs. These practices foster awareness of how educational experiences unfold through varied energetic states (Pinar, 2012).

5.4 Flow: Silence as a State of Continuous Immersive Engagement

The ontology of Flow conceptualises silence as continuous movement across energy landscapes, creating a state of immersion that facilitates sustained engagement with learning activities. This quality of silence enables expansive experiences, where learners become so absorbed in their activity that self-consciousness recedes and their perception of time alters. Unlike other silence ontologies, Flow silence maintains uninterrupted progression, supporting extended periods of focused concentration.

In educational contexts, Flow silence manifests when students experience what absorption in learning tasks that transcends discrete transitions (Noddings, 1984). This quality enables students to develop expertise through sustained, deliberate engagement with challenging material (Bereiter & Scardamalia, 1993). The neurological underpinnings involve the activation of the default mode network, where seemingly quiet mental states facilitate creative integration and meaning-making (Luo et al., 2024).

Pedagogical applications include problem-based learning environments where students work through complex challenges without interruption, extended writing workshops that maintain creative momentum, and reading practices that support sustained engagement with texts. These approaches emphasise learning experiences characterised not by discrete steps but by continuous development.

The quality of silence creates a flow of awareness: a heightened state of presence where students remain fully present to their learning experience (Greene, 1978). This continuous immersive engagement supports not only cognitive development but also aesthetic and emotional dimensions of learning, creating educational experiences that students might describe as losing themselves in meaningful activities.

6. Designing Silence in Education

Designing for effective learning in education must move beyond treating silence as an undifferentiated absence toward recognising it as a multifaceted pedagogical resource for teachers with distinct forms and functions (Tan et al., 2024). By applying the four ontological perspectives of silence, educators can intentionally design learning environments that leverage different qualities of silence for specific pedagogical purposes.

Designing for Stasis requires creating contemplative spaces where potential energy can be concentrated and held. This might involve designated areas for reflection, structured mindfulness practices, or assessment environments that facilitate sustained concentration without distraction. Punctuation-oriented design focuses on rhythmic structuring of learning experiences, with deliberate intervals that support cognitive processing. This includes transition signals between activities, discussion protocols that incorporate strategic pauses, and temporal boundaries that enable closure and new beginnings.

Designing for Shifting involves creating environmental flexibility that supports movement between energy states. This might include modular classroom arrangements, visual cues indicating appropriate energy levels, and instructional sequences that alternate between high engagement and reflective modes. Flow-oriented design creates conditions for uninterrupted progression across learning experiences. This involves minimising disruptive transitions, providing extended time blocks for engagement, and developing environmental continuity that supports immersive learning states.

By intentionally designing these different silence qualities, educators create more balanced learning environments that honour the full spectrum of cognitive and emotional processing.

7. An Educational Thought Experiment

I now present an educational thought experiment that explores a possible scenario for activating the different ontologies of silence pedagogically. Of course, the scenario and its elements are fictional, but the purpose is to evoke what might be possible.

7.1. The Thought Experiment

At Woodvale Secondary College, Ms Chen begins her Year 10 History class focusing on the Holocaust with careful attention to the ontological dimensions of silence. Having planned for all four

manifestations of silence, she creates a learning experience that honours both the historical gravity and the pedagogical opportunities afforded by the different qualities of silence.

The first dimension emerges immediately: Stasis silence. As students enter, a series of projections featuring Holocaust photographs is displayed. No verbal introduction is given. Instead, Ms. Chen provides five full minutes of contemplative space, allowing students to encounter these images within a potential-filled suspension. This silence isn't empty waiting but charged with incubating emotion and thought. Students later describe feeling "uncomfortable but in a necessary way" and "like my brain was trying to make sense of something too big." This Stasis silence creates wide awakeness: a heightened receptivity that may provide a stronger level of engagement than any verbal introduction could achieve.

Transitioning to historical context, Ms. Chen employs deliberate punctuation silence. After explaining the Nazi rise to power, she pauses for twenty seconds before discussing antisemitism, signalling a categorical shift. Similarly, after describing ghetto formations, she allows silence to mark the boundary before concentration camps. These silences serve as liminal spaces or thresholds, preventing historical events from blurring together. A student notes, "The silences helped me realise these were separate decisions, not just one big inevitable thing." The punctuated structure allows ethical processing of a sequence of historical events without confusing them.

For exploring survivor testimonies, Ms Chen leverages Shifting silence, creating movement between emotional intensities. After particularly harrowing accounts, she guides energy modulation: "Let's take thirty seconds to acknowledge what we've heard before discussing resistance movements." This silence fosters emotional regulation, allowing students to process distress without feeling disconnected. The classroom environment supports this through clearly designated reflection zones where students can temporarily withdraw when emotional processing requires different engagement modes.

The culminating activity employs Flow silence through an immersive memorial creation. Students work for a whole period, crafting responses to testimonies while ambient sounds of wind and distant voices play softly. This extended, uninterrupted silence creates immersion in meaningful activity. Rather than a punctuated discussion, students experience continuous progression through difficult material, later reporting that "it didn't feel like school" and "I forgot myself and just connected with the stories."

Reflecting afterwards, students articulate how different silences supported their learning. "Sometimes I needed to just sit with information," explains Jamal. "Other times the quiet helped me shift from feeling overwhelmed to being able to think about resistance." Sophia adds, "The silence wasn't emptiness—it was where the real learning happened."

Through the intentional deployment of all four ontological silence qualities, Ms. Chen transforms what could be merely disturbing historical content into profound ethical engagement, demonstrating how silence functions not as an educational void but as an essential pedagogical resource in challenging curricular contexts.

8. Conclusion

This article has reconceptualised silence through an Aristotelian categorical lens, moving beyond simplistic understandings of silence as mere absence to recognise its multifaceted, energy-based ontologies. By exploring four distinct manifestations of silence (Stasis, Punctuation, Shifting, and Flow), we have illuminated how silence functions not as an educational void but as a substantive pedagogical resource with distinctive qualities and applications. As illustrated in the Year 10 Holocaust education thought experiment, these silence ontologies can be strategically employed to create meaningful learning experiences, even when the content is challenging.

The key insights emerging from this theoretical framework include the recognition that silence represents different energetic states rather than uniform emptiness, that each manifestation of silence offers unique educational affordances, and that the intentional incorporation of varied silence qualities can enhance learning across diverse contexts. The Stasis quality creates contemplative spaces where students can engage with difficult material through sustained attention. Punctuation silence provides essential cognitive boundaries between conceptual elements, allowing for the processing of sequential

information. Shifting silence facilitates emotional regulation and differentiated engagement with demanding content. Flow silence enables immersive learning experiences that transcend ordinary classroom temporality.

These insights challenge the predominant emphasis on constant verbal participation in educational settings, suggesting that strategic deployment of different forms of silence may better serve cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and metacognitive development. As proposed in the thought experiment, students may respond positively when silence is treated as purposeful rather than empty, recognising it as a space where "real learning happened."

For educational practice, I recommend that educators develop greater awareness of different silence qualities and their potential contributions to learning; intentionally design learning environments that incorporate varied forms of silence rather than simply alternating between "active" and "quiet" time; honour cultural and individual differences in silence perception and utilisation; and explicitly teach students to recognise and leverage different silence qualities in their own learning processes.

By embracing the ontological framework presented here, educators can create learning environments that balance verbalisation with strategic silence, moving beyond the binary opposition that frequently characterises educational discourse. This more nuanced approach recognises silence not as a pedagogical failure, but as an educational asset, enabling what Aristotle might term the full realisation of educational potential across multiple categorical dimensions.

Acknowledgments

I thank all the participants who have shared their voices so that this study could be accomplished. Our gratitude also goes to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive and substantial feedback so that this manuscript could be published and reach wider readers.

Declarations

Author contribution	:	The Author is responsible for the entire research project, from initiating the ideas to revising the manuscript.
Funding statement	:	No funding sources were required or obtained in writing this paper.
Conflict of interest	:	The author declares no conflict of interest.
Declaration of ethics	:	I, as the author acknowledge that this work has been written based on ethical research that conforms with the regulations of our university and that I have obtained the permission from the relevant institute when collecting data. I support *The Journal of Silence Studies in Education* (*JSSE*) in maintaining high standards of personal conduct, practicing honesty in all our professional practices and endeavors.
Additional information	:	No additional information is available for this article.

REFERENCES

Arendt, H. (1958). *The human condition*. University of Chicago Press.

Aristotle. (1984a). Categories (J. L. Ackrill, Trans.). In J. Barnes (Ed.), *The complete works of Aristotle* (Vol. 1, pp. 3-24). Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400835843-004

Aristotle. (1984b). Metaphysics (W. D. Ross, Trans.). In J. Barnes (Ed.), *The complete works of Aristotle* (Vol. 2, pp. 1552-1728). Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400835850-010

Aristotle. (1984c). Posterior analytics (J. Barnes, Trans.). In J. Barnes (Ed.), *The complete works of Aristotle* (Vol. 1, pp. 114-166). Princeton University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE) https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400835843-007

Bachelard, G. (1994). *The poetics of space* (M. Jolas, Trans.). Beacon Press. (Original work published 1958)

Baddeley, A. D. (2007). *Working memory, thought, and action*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198528012.001.0001

Barnes, J. (1995). *The Cambridge Companion to Aristotle*. Cambridge University Press.

Barrett, L. F. (2017). *How emotions are made: The secret life of the brain*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Beaty, R. E., & Kenett, Y. N. (2023). Associative thinking at the core of creativity. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *27*(7), 671–683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2023.04.004

Bereiter, C., & Scardamalia, M. (1993). *Surpassing ourselves: An inquiry into the nature and implications of expertise*. Open Court.

Bergson, H. (1950). *Time and free will: An essay on the immediate data of consciousness* (F. L. Pogson, Trans.). George Allen & Unwin. (Original work published 1910)

Bergson, H. (1998). *Creative evolution* (A. Mitchell, Trans.). Dover Publications. (Original work published 1907)

Booth, W. (1961). *The rhetoric of fiction*. University of Chicago Press.

Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.

Buber, M. (1970). *I and thou* (W. Kaufmann, Trans.). Charles Scribner's Sons. (Original work published 1923)

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.

Davidson, R. J. (2012). *The emotional life of your brain*. Hudson Street Press.

Dehaene, S. (2014). *Consciousness and the brain: Deciphering how the brain codes our thoughts*. Viking.

Dewey, J. (1934). *Art as experience*. Minton, Balch & Company.

Doyle, W. (1986). Classroom organization and management. In M. C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of research on teaching* (3rd ed., pp. 392-431). Macmillan.

Ehrenhaus, P. (1988). Silence and symbolic expression. *Communication Monographs*, *55*(1), 41-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/03637758809376157

Eisner, E. W. (1994). *The educational imagination: On the design and evaluation of school programs* (3rd ed.). Macmillan.

Ellsworth, E. (2005). *Places of learning: Media, architecture, pedagogy*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203020920

Foucault, M. (1988). Technologies of the self. In L. H. Martin, H. Gutman, & P. H. Hutton (Eds.), *Technologies of the self: A seminar with Michel Foucault* (pp. 16-49). University of Massachusetts Press.

Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* (M. B. Ramos, Trans.). Continuum.

Garrison, D. R., & Archer, W. (2000). *A transactional perspective on teaching and learning: A framework for adult and higher education*. Pergamon.

Gendlin, E. T. (1978). *Focusing*. Everest House.

Glenn, C. (2004). *Unspoken: A rhetoric of silence*. Southern Illinois University Press.

Goffman, E. (1967). *Interaction ritual: Essays on face-to-face behavior*. Aldine.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.

Greene, M. (1978). *Landscapes of learning*. Teachers College Press.

Hanna, A. (2021). Silence at school: Uses and experiences of silence in pedagogy at a secondary school. *British Educational Research Journal*, *47*(5), 1158-1176. https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3719

Heidegger, M. (1971). *Poetry, language, thought* (A. Hofstadter, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original works published 1959)

Ihde, D. (2007). *Listening and voice: Phenomenologies of sound* (2nd ed.). State University of New York Press. https://doi.org/10.1353/book5250

Immordino-Yang, M. H., Christodoulou, J. A., & Singh, V. (2012). Rest is not idleness: Implications of the brain's default mode for human development and education. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *7*(4), 352-364. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691612447308

Ingram, J., & Elliott, V. (2014). Turn-taking and 'wait time' in classroom interactions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *62*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2013.12.002

Ingram, J., & Elliott, V. (2016). A critical analysis of the role of wait time in classroom interactions and the effects on student and teacher interactional behaviours. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, *46*(1), 37–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2015.1009365

James, W. (1890). *The principles of psychology*. Henry Holt and Company. https://doi.org/10.1037/10538-000

Knapp, M. L. (1978). *Nonverbal communication in human interaction*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.1978.tb00616.x

Kounin, J. S. (1970). *Discipline and group management in classrooms*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Leinster, J., Mitsakis, F., & Genghi, A. (2025). Noise in the learning space: Increasing awareness and breaking down barriers. *Human Resource Development International*, *28*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2025.2455206

Levin, D. M. (1989). *The listening self: Personal growth, social change and the closure of metaphysics*. Routledge.

Levitin, D. J. (2014). *The organized mind: Thinking straight in the age of information overload*. Dutton.

López Gutiérrez, Á., & Arroyo Paniagua, J. J. (2024). An exploration of silence in communication. *European Public & Social Innovation Review*, *9*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.31637/epsir-2024-610

Luo, W., Liu, B., Tang, Y., Huang, J., & Wu, J. (2024). Rest to promote learning: A brain default mode network perspective. *Behavioral Sciences*, *14*(4), 349. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14040349

Maroni, B., Gnisci, A., & Pontecorvo, C. (2008). Turn-taking in classroom interactions: Overlapping, interruptions and pauses in primary school. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, *23*, 59–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03173140

Mazzei, L. A. (2007). *Inhabited silence in qualitative research: Putting poststructural theory to work*. Peter Lang.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (2012). *Phenomenology of perception* (D. A. Landes, Trans.). Routledge. (Original work published 1945). https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203720714

Noddings, N. (1984). *Caring: A feminine approach to ethics and moral education*. University of California Press.

Palmer, P. J. (1998). *The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life*. Jossey-Bass.

Picard, M. (1988). *The world of silence* (S. Godman, Trans.). Gateway Editions. (Original work published 1948)

Pinar, W. F. (2012). *What is curriculum theory?* (2nd ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410609793

Rowe, M. B. (1986). Wait time: Slowing down may be a way of speeding up! *Journal of Teacher Education*, *37*(1), 43-50. https://doi.org/10.1177/002248718603700110

Schultz, K. (2009). *Rethinking classroom participation: Listening to silent voices*. Teachers College Press.

Shklovsky, V. (1965). Art as technique. In L. T. Lemon & M. J. Reis (Eds.), *Russian formalist criticism: Four essays* (pp. 3-24). University of Nebraska Press.

Sternberg, R. J. (1985). *Beyond IQ: A triarchic theory of human intelligence*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4406-0_9

Tan, S. C., Tan, A. L., & Lee, A. V. Y. (2024). Breaking the silence: Understanding teachers' use of silence in classrooms. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2024.2341258

Tomlinson, C. A. (1999). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Turkle, S. (2015). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age*. Penguin Press.

Turner, V. (1969). *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure*. Aldine.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1986). *Thought and language* (A. Kozulin, Trans.). MIT Press. (Original work published 1934)

Zembylas, M., & Michaelides, P. (2004). The sound of silence in pedagogy. *Educational Theory*, *54*(2), 193-210. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-5446.2004.00014.x